DOCKET NO: 247194US8X

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE

IN RE APPLICATION OF

:

AKIRA KINNO, ET AL.

: EXAMINER: RIES, LAURIE ANNE

SERIAL NO: 10/743,825

FILED: DECEMBER 24, 2003

: GROUP ART UNIT: 2176

FOR: APPARATUS, METHOD AND PROGRAM FOR CONVERTING STRUCTURED DOCUMENT

DECLARATION OF INVENTOR

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22313

SIR:

I, Akira Kinno, am one of the inventors in the above-identified patent application.

Because I have worked in the information processing and software industry for more than five years, I believe that I am a person of ordinary skill in the relevant art.

I understand that the USPTO considers <u>Callahan</u>, et al. (US Patent Publication Number 2002-0157023, hereinafter <u>Callahan</u>) as not requiring an intermediate step to be performed when performing a transaction transformation process [0065].

I believe that our attorney has properly characterized <u>Callahan</u> at pages 16, 17, and 18 of the Amendment filed December 26, 2007. In that discussion, our response explains that <u>Callahan</u> requires the intermediate step. For example, as shown in the cover figure, annotation 510 is the mechanism by which the XML schema is annotated with semantic actions that guide the transformation process. It is the annotation process and the creation of an intermediate file that allows <u>Callahan</u> to achieve its objective of providing an efficient and

easy-to-configure network transaction. Without having the intermediate step, Callahan would not be able to allow the "rule setter" to set new rules in order to accomplish its goal.

Therefore, based on my experience in the industry, and my review of Callahan, I believe that Callahan's successful operation of meeting of its stated objective requires the step of creating an intermediate file in order to support the transformation process.

The undersigned petitioner declares further that all statements made herein of his own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of this application or any patent issuing thereon.

Further deponent saith not.

Akira Kinno

Aug 15, 2008

Date